## Packing Heat: Guns in Public Spaces

Gun Ownership Identity and Public Safety

# Patrick Gauding University of Kansas

**Abstract** 

Americans increasingly percieve that guns make individuals and society safer, but the public remains polarized at this point. Given recent expansions of concealed carry laws and 'stand your ground' policies, it is clear that public attitudes about guns and safety have real implications for public policy. This research seeks to understand the social and psychological roots of divergent attitudes on this issue. I hypothesize that social and political identities motivate beliefs about guns and safety. These identities lead their adherents to engage in a form of motivated reasoning that results in preferences and beliefs that protect self-interests. I test these hypotheses through analysis of a recent national survey of American adults. These results suggest that gun-owners, men, Republicans and conservatives are the most likely to believe that guns make us safer, which conforms to the self-interests of these groups.

#### **Research Question**

How are attitudes towards guns in public spaces affected by gun ownership?

#### Literature

- Motivated reasoning Kunda (1990), Lodge and Taber (2013)
- Symbolic politics of guns Wolpert and Gimpel (1998), Celinska (2007)
- Gun ownership as an identity Carlson (2015), Joslyn et al. (2017), Joslyn and Haider-Markel (2017)

#### Theory and Hypotheses

 $H_1$ : Among all respondents, attitudes towards guns in public spaces and safety should be affected by gunownership, gender, ideology and party identity.

 $H_2$ : Among gunowners, attitudes towards guns in public spaces and safety should be affected by strength of gun ownership as an identity.

#### Data and Methods

- -National survey of American adults (N = 2088) conducted in summer 2017
- Oversample of gunowners;  $N_{gunowners} = 855$
- -Due to censored/truncated nature of additive index DV, analysis uses Tobit model

#### Variables

- DV: Guns and Public Safety Index (additive, coded 5-25, Cronbach's  $\alpha$  = 0.81)
- -IV1: Gun in the Home (Dichotomous, coded 1 if gun present)
- -IV2: Gun Ownership Identity (additive, coded 4-28, Cronbach's  $\alpha$  = 0.76)
- -Controls: Ideology (1-7), Age, Education (1-7), Income, White (dummy), Republican (dummy), Democrat (dummy)

#### **Contact Information:**

Blake Hall, Room 504 University of Kansas 1541 Lilac Ln., Lawrence KS 66044

Phone: 785.864.3523

Email: patrick.gauding@ku.edu



#### Results

	Model 1 Estimate (S.E.)	Model 2 Estimate (S.E.)	Model 3 Estimate (S.E.)
Gun in the Home		4.258***	
Gun Identity	(0.209)	(0.190)	0.171***
Guiriaentity			(0.021)
Ideology		0.964***	0.636***
		(0.068)	(0.090)
Gender		0.777***	-0.257
		(0.185)	(0.257)
Age		-0.011	0.022*
		(0.007)	(0.009)
Education		-0.253***	-0.114
		(0.070)	(0.098)
Income		-0.118**	0.073
income		(0.045)	(0.066)
White		-0.052	0.503
VVIIICE		(0.235)	(0.357)
Republican		0.701***	-0.181
		(0.248)	(0.313)
Democrat		-1.305***	-0.885*
		(0.236)	(0.364)
Constant	13.348***	17.047***	12.950***
	(0.147)	(1.269)	(1.854)
Var.	21.001	15.878	11.884
	(0.711)	(0.537)	(0.624)
N	1945	1945	807
LR $\chi^2$	537.10	1065.55	224.28
$\text{Prob} > \chi^2$	0.000	0.000	0.000
Pseudo $R^2$	0.047	0.092	0.052
Log-likelihood	-5508.724	-5244.502	-2064.103

 $<sup>*</sup>p \le 0.05**p \le 0.01***p \le 0.001$ 

#### Conclusions

- Among all respondents, support for guns in public spaces being postive for safety are affected by the presence of a gun in the home, ideology, gender, education, income, and party identity, consistent with previous research.
- Among gunowners, gun identity, ideology, age and being a Democrat affect these attitudes. This is consistent with the hypothesized expectation that gun identity exerts a postive effect on attitudes towards guns and public safety.
- Ideology does exert a nearly 0.5 unit higher impact on the gun-safety index than identity, which is not particularly surprising.
- However, the strength of the gun identity effect (p < 0.001) points to its importance in unwraveling differing political preferences between non-gunowners and gunowners.

#### References

- Carlson, J. (2015). *Citizen-protectors: The everyday politics of guns in an age of decline.* Oxford University Press.
- Celinska, K. (2007). "Individualism and collectivism in America: The Case of Gun Ownership and Attitudes toward Gun Control." *Sociological Perspectives* 50(2): 229-247.
- Joslyn, M. R. and Haider-Markel, D. P. (2017). "Gun ownership and self-serving attributions for mass shooting tragedies." *Social Science Quarterly* 98(2):429–442.
- Joslyn, M. R., Haider-Markel, D. P., Baggs, M., and Bilbo, A. (2017). "Emerging political identities? gun ownership and voting in presidential elections." *Social Science Quarterly* 98(2):382–396.
- Kunda, Z. (1990). "The case for motivated reasoning." *Psychological bulletin*, 108(3):480.
- Lodge, M. and Taber, C. S. (2013). *The rationalizing voter*. Cambridge University Press.

### Acknowledgements

I thank Clayton Webb for his help and instruction in this class, as well as Donald P. Haider-Markel for data and support on this project.