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## Goals of This Session

## Conceptual:

- Types of merges
- Merging vocabulary
- When to use merges


## Skill Building:

- Practicing merging variants
- Different implementations of merging in R
- Dangers associated with improper merging and how to avoid them
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## Small Example

- This is an example provided with R ( R Core Team, 2017)


## authors

|  | surname | nationality | deceased |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Tukey | US | yes |
| 2 | Venables | Australia | no |
| 3 | Tierney | US | no |
| 4 | Ripley | UK | no |
| 5 | McNeil | Australia | no |

## books

|  | name | title | other_author |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Tukey Exploratory Data Analysis | $<N A>$ |  |
| 2 | Venables Modern Applied Statistics | Ripley |  |
| 3 | Tierney | LISP-STAT | $<N A>$ |
| 4 | Ripley | Spatial Statistics | $<N A>$ |
| 5 | Ripley | Stochasticc Simulation | $<N A>$ |
| 6 | McNeil Interactive Data Analysis | $<N A>$ |  |
| 7 | R Core | An Introduction to R Venables \& Smith |  |

## Small Example ...

```
merge(x = authors, \(y=\) books, by.x = "surname",
    by. \(y=\) "name")
```

|  | surname | nationality | deceased |  | title | other_author |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | McNeil | Australia | no | Interactive Data | Analysis | <NA> |
| 2 | Ripley | UK | no | Spatial S | Statistics | $<\mathrm{NA}\rangle$ |
| 3 | Ripley | UK | no | Stochastic S | Simulation | $\langle N A\rangle$ |
| 4 | Tierney | US | no |  | LISP-STAT | <NA> |
| 5 | Tukey | US | yes | Exploratory Data | a Analysis | <NA> |
| 6 | Venables | Australia | no | Modern Applied S | Statistics | Ripley |

## Merge Arguments

```
merge(x, y, by.x, by.y, by, incomparables, sort,
    all.x, all.y, all )
```

(1) $x$ Specifies the left data set
(2) y Specifies the right data set
(3) by. $x$, by. $y$, by specifies the key as a character string. by is common to both $x$ and $y$.
(9) incomparables provides values in the key to not be used for matching, such as NA, blank space, or NaN (not a number).
(5) sort Logical (TRUE or FALSE), sorts the output
(6) all. $x$, all.y, all Logical, will help us determine the behavior of the merge. We will talk more about this as we go
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## Binding is not a merging

- The functions rbind() and cbind() can be used to "stack" matrices on top of each other (rows bound together), or place them side by side (columns bound together)
- Binding puts data sets together, but if the rows (or columns) are not in exactly the same order, it will corrupt the result. Binding two data sets is not merging
- Merging takes into account a "Key" variable (typically an ID \# or Name), so that the correct rows are aligned with each other.


## SQL Terminology

- SQL = "Structured Query Language". Very widely used general purpose data-base framework.
- R merge developed in isolation, used different terminology.
- Next we show that the SQL terms "left join", "inner join" and so forth can be achieved by properly setting the value of the merges all parameter ( all, all.x, and all.y )


## Left Join

The "Left Join" is used when the goal data set should only have rows that are present in X . The key variable is used to scan Y for matches, which are then merged with the $X$ rows.
dat_legs

|  | animal | legs |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | dog | 4 |
| 2 | cats | 4 |
| 3 | human | 2 |
| 4 | snake | 0 |
| 5 | tree | 0 |

dat_fur

|  | animal | fur |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | dog | yes |
| 2 | cats | Mostly |
| 3 | human | No |
| 4 | bird | No |

## Left Join ...

```
merge(x = dat_legs, y = dat_fur, by = "animal",
    all.x = TRUE)
```

|  | animal | legs | fur |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | cats | 4 | Mostly |
| 2 | dog | 4 | yes |
| 3 | human | 2 | No |
| 4 | snake | 0 | <NA> |
| 5 | tree | 0 | <NA $\rangle$ |

Setting "all.x" to TRUE produces a "Left Join". The output data will contain rows that are in $x$ and there will be additional columns aligned from $y$.

## Left Join
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## Left Join Switched

Let's do a Left Join again, but switch the data sets.
dat_legs

|  | animal | legs |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | dog | 4 |
| 2 | cats | 4 |
| 3 | human | 2 |
| 4 | snake | 0 |
| 5 | tree | 0 |

dat_fur

|  | animal | fur |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | dog | yes |
| 2 | cats | Mostly |
| 3 | human | No |
| 4 | bird | No |

merge(x = dat_fur, $y=d a t \_l e g s, \quad b y=$ "animal", all. $x=$ TRUE)

## Left Join Switched

|  | animal | fur | legs |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | bird | No | NA |
| 2 | cats | Mostly | 4 |
| 3 | dog | yes | 4 |
| 4 | human | No | 2 |
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## Situations calling for Left Join

- You want to investigate the relationship between fur and legs in animals
- You have a data set of the animals you are interested in and their fur status
- You obtain a list of all animals legs count
- Key = Animal Name
- Output data is the length of the fur data set
- You want to investigate the effect of tuition on retention rate in Florida
- You have Floridian school tuition rates data set
- You obtain a nationwide data set of retention rates
- Key = School Name
- Output data is the length of the tuition rates data set


## Inner Join

The "Inner join" is used when the goal data set should only have rows that have keys in both the X and Y data.
all $=$ FALSE is the default setting. It is not required to achieve an inner join
dat_legs

|  | animal | legs |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | dog | 4 |
| 2 | cats | 4 |
| 3 | human | 2 |
| 4 | snake | 0 |
| 5 | tree | 0 |

dat_fur

|  | animal | fur |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | dog | yes |
| 2 | cats | Mostly |
| 3 | human | No |
| 4 | bird | No |

## Inner Join ...

```
merge(x = dat_legs, y = dat_fur, by = "animal")
```

|  | animal | legs | fur |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | cats | 4 | Mostly |
| 2 | dog | 4 | yes |
| 3 | human | 2 | No |

Omitting all, or setting all = FALSE produces an "Inner Join". The output data will only contain rows that have matching key values on both input data sets.

## Inner Join
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## Qualities of Inner Joins

- Pro, result data set will be more complete than other merges.
- Con, result data set loses more information than other merges.


## Full Join

Full Join keeps all data rows, filling in unmatched rows with missing values.
dat_legs

|  | animal | legs |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | dog | 4 |
| 2 | cats | 4 |
| 3 | human | 2 |
| 4 | snake | 0 |
| 5 | tree | 0 |

dat_fur

|  | animal | fur |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | dog | yes |
| 2 | cats | Mostly |
| 3 | human | No |
| 4 | bird | No |

## Full Join

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { merge }(x=\text { dat_legs, } y=\text { dat_fur, by }=\text { "animal", } \\
& \text { all }=\text { TRUE) }
\end{aligned}
$$

|  | animal | legs | fur |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | bird | NA | No |
| 2 | cats | 4 | Mostly |
| 3 | dog | 4 | yes |
| 4 | human | 2 | No |
| 5 | snake | 0 | <NA $>$ |
| 6 | tree | 0 | <NA $\rangle$ |

## Full Join
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## Properties of Full Joins

- Output set includes rows for all cases from both data sets
- There may be lots of "missing" values where rows are not present in one set or the other
- You don't lose any information, but the value of wholly missing rows may be low
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## Practice

```
dat1
```

|  | Company | Earnings |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | A | 126345 |
| 2 | B | 492012 |
| 3 | C | 234512 |
| 4 | D | -28124 |
| 5 | E | 128675 |

dat2

|  | Company | Region |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | A | Midwest |
| 2 | B | Southeast |
| 3 | C | West |
| 4 | F | North |

Can you:

- Left Join the data so we have all Earnings in the Output set.
- Left Join the data so we have all Regions in the Output set.
- Inner Join the data so we have no missing data.
- Full Join the data so we have everything in the Output set.


## Practice: Answer 1

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{merge}(x=\text { dat1, } y=\operatorname{dat} 2, \text { by }=\text { Company", all.x }= \\
& \text { TRUE) }
\end{aligned}
$$

|  | Company | Earnings | Region |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | A | 126345 | Midwest |
| 2 | B | 492012 | Southeast |
| 3 | C | 234512 | West |
| 4 | D | -28124 | $\langle N A\rangle$ |
| 5 | E | 128675 | $\langle N A\rangle$ |

- Left Join the data so we have all Earnings in the Output set.


## Practice: Answer 2

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{merge}(x=\operatorname{dat} 2, y=\text { dat1, by }=\text { Company", all.x }= \\
& \text { TRUE) }
\end{aligned}
$$

|  | Company | Region | Earnings |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | A | Midwest | 126345 |
| 2 | B | Southeast | 492012 |
| 3 | C | West | 234512 |
| 4 | F | North | NA |

- Left Join the data so we have all Regions in the Output set.


## Practice: Answer 3

```
merge(x = dat1, y = dat2, by = "Company", all =
    FALSE)
```

|  | Company | Earnings | Region |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | A | 126345 | Midwest |
| 2 | B | 492012 | Southeast |
| 3 | C | 234512 | West |

- Inner Join the data so we have no missing data.


## Practice: Answer 4

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underset{\text { TRUE })}{\operatorname{merge}(x}=\text { dat1, } y=\text { dat2, by }=\text { "Company", all = }
\end{aligned}
$$

|  | Company | Earnings | Region |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | A | 126345 | Midwest |
| 2 | B | 492012 | Southeast |
| 3 | C | 234512 | West |
| 4 | D | -28124 | <NA |
| 5 | E | 128675 | <NA |
| 6 | F | NA | North |

- Full Join the data so we have everything in the Output set.
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## Longitudinal Data

- Data comes in 2 typical formats
(1) Wide: Columns that describe units of observation (one row per state, or per school, or per child)

| state | region |
| ---: | ---: |
| Alabama | south |
| Alaska | north |

(2) Long: Repeated observations, several times for each unit.

| year | state | poverty |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2000 | Alabama | 13 |

2001 Alabama 12

2017 Wisconsin 11

- We often want to merge the information about the units from the wide format onto the longitudinal data that is in the long format.


## Example: Merging Wide data onto Longitudinal Data

The longitudinal data is about children measured at 3 time points
dat_long

|  | child_id | Time | FSIQ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 110 | 1 | 98 |
| 2 | 110 | 2 | 102 |
| 3 | 110 | 3 | 104 |
| 4 | 210 | 1 | 89 |
| 5 | 210 | 2 | 91 |
| 6 | 210 | 3 | 95 |

Separate data about the education of parents is available for some children
dat_edu

|  | child_id | par_edu |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 210 | BA |
| 2 | 110 | HS |

## Longitudinal Data: Long

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { merge }\left(x=\text { dat_long, } y=d a t \_e d u, b y=\right.\text { "child_id", } \\
& \text { all }=\text { TRUE) }
\end{aligned}
$$

|  | child_id | Time | FSIQ | par_edu |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 110 | 1 | 98 | HS |
| 2 | 110 | 2 | 102 | HS |
| 3 | 110 | 3 | 104 | HS |
| 4 | 210 | 1 | 89 | BA |
| 5 | 210 | 2 | 91 | BA |
| 6 | 210 | 3 | 95 | BA |

- This is a full join
- No problems encountered, result seems adequate.


## Points of caution in the full join

(1) If information about some families is missing from the wide data, then missing values will be created in the result
Example:
We change the wide data by removing one child

```
child_id par_edu
1 210 BA
```

```
merge(x = dat_long, y = dat_edu2, by =
    "child_id", all = TRUE)
```

|  | child_id | Time | FSIQ | par_edu |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1 | 110 | 1 | 98 | $\langle N A\rangle$ |
| 2 | 110 | 2 | 102 | $\langle N A\rangle$ |
| 3 | 110 | 3 | 104 | $\langle N A\rangle$ |
| 4 | 210 | 1 | 89 | BA |
| 5 | 210 | 2 | 91 | BA |
| 6 | 210 | 3 | 95 | BA |

## Points of caution in the full join ...

(2) If wide data includes information about children/families that are not tracked in the long data, then the full join will create "extra" all missing lines in the longitudinal part.
Example:
We only change dat_edu by inserting additional rows for some children.

|  | child_id | par_edu |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 210 | BA |
| 2 | 110 | HS |
| 3 | 400 | ES |
| 4 | 501 | HS |

Why would this happen in real life? Suppose these are child/parent data rows from a different study in which some of the children participated.

## Points of caution in the full join ...

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { merge(x = dat_long, y = dat_edu2, by }= \\
& \text { "child_id", all = TRUE) }
\end{aligned}
$$

5 |  | child_id | Time | FSIQ | par_edu |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 110 | 1 | 98 | HS |
| 2 | 110 | 2 | 102 | HS |
| 3 | 110 | 3 | 104 | HS |
| 4 | 210 | 1 | 89 | BA |
| 5 | 210 | 2 | 91 | BA |
| 6 | 210 | 3 | 95 | BA |
| 7 | 400 | NA | NA | ES |
| 8 | 501 | NA | NA | HS |

## Points of caution in the full join ...

(3) Some users may prefer to think of this as a left join, keeping only rows about children in a study (and omitting rows about families of children who are not in the study)

```
merge(x = dat_long, y = dat_edu2, by =
    "child_id", all.x = TRUE, all.y = FALSE)
```

|  | child_id | Time | FSIQ | par_edu |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 110 | 1 | 98 | HS |
| 2 | 110 | 2 | 102 | HS |
| 3 | 110 | 3 | 104 | HS |
| 4 | 210 | 1 | 89 | BA |
| 5 | 210 | 2 | 91 | BA |
| 6 | 210 | 3 | 95 | BA |

## Longitudinal Data: Long Data by Long Data

dat_long1

|  | child_id | Time | FSIQ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 110 | 1 | 98 |
| 2 | 110 | 2 | 102 |
| 3 | 110 | 3 | 104 |
| 4 | 210 | 1 | 89 |
| 5 | 210 | 2 | 91 |
| 6 | 210 | 3 | 95 |

dat_long2

|  | child_id | Time | Reaction |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 210 | 1 | 0.34 |
| 2 | 210 | 2 | 0.28 |
| 3 | 210 | 3 | 0.19 |
| 4 | 110 | 1 | 0.33 |
| 5 | 110 | 2 | 0.32 |
| 6 | 110 | 3 | 0.28 |

Notice here, the dangers are repeating ID's in both data sets.

## Longitudinal Data: Long Data by Long Data

```
head(merge(x = dat_long1, y = dat_long2, by =
"child_id", all.x = TRUE), 12)
```

|  | child_id | Time.x | FSIQ | Time. y | Reaction |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 110 | 1 | 98 | 1 | 0.33 |
| 2 | 110 | 1 | 98 | 2 | 0.32 |
| 3 | 110 | 1 | 98 | 3 | 0.28 |
| 4 | 110 | 2 | 102 | 1 | 0.33 |
| 5 | 110 | 2 | 102 | 2 | 0.32 |
| 6 | 110 | 2 | 102 | 3 | 0.28 |
| 7 | 110 | 3 | 104 | 1 | 0.33 |
| 8 | 110 | 3 | 104 | 2 | 0.32 |
| 9 | 110 | 3 | 104 | 3 | 0.28 |
| 10 | 210 | 1 | 89 | 1 | 0.34 |
| 11 | 210 | 1 | 89 | 2 | 0.28 |
| 12 | 210 | 1 | 89 | 3 | 0.19 |

This is WRONG!!! look closely.

## Longitudinal Data: Long Data by Long Data

To solve our problem we provide multiple Keys to the "by" argument:

```
merge(x = dat_long1, y = dat_long2, by =
c("child_id", "Time"), all.x = TRUE)
```

|  | child_id | Time | FSIQ | Reaction |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 110 | 1 | 98 | 0.33 |
| 2 | 110 | 2 | 102 | 0.32 |
| 3 | 110 | 3 | 104 | 0.28 |
| 4 | 210 | 1 | 89 | 0.34 |
| 5 | 210 | 2 | 91 | 0.28 |
| 6 | 210 | 3 | 95 | 0.19 |

That is much better, notice the fix:
by = c("child_id", "Time")

## Longitudinal Data: Long Data by Long Data

An intuitive way to determine when you need to supply multiple keys to the "by" argument is to ask yourself:

- Can every occurrence of my ID variable be uniquely identified ?
- If not, which other variable is necessary to produce an uniquely identified ID ?


## Longitudinal Data: QUIZ

Which columns together create the proper uniquely identifiable key set?
dat_nat

|  | ID | Year | Quarter | population | il |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | USA | 1990 | Q1 | 10.585529 | 97.15840 |
| 2 | USA | 1990 | Q2 | 10.709466 | 90.80678 |
| 3 | USA | 1991 | Q1 | 9.890697 | 98.83752 |
| 4 | USA | 1991 | Q2 | 9.546503 | 118.17312 |
| 5 | UK | 1990 | Q1 | 10.605887 | 103.70628 |
| 6 | UK | 1990 | Q2 | 8.182044 | 105.20216 |
| 7 | UK | 1991 | Q1 | 10.630099 | 92.49468 |
| 8 | UK | 1991 | Q2 | 9.723816 | 108.16900 |

## Longitudinal Data: A Useful way to Identify Keys

```
table(dat_nat$ID)
```

```
UK USA
    4 4
```

Not unique, we need another key

```
table(dat_nat$ID, dat_nat$Quarter)
```

|  | Q1 | Q2 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| UK | 2 | 2 |
| USA | 2 | 2 |

getting closer
table(dat_nat\$ID, dat_nat\$Quarter, dat_nat\$Year)

## Longitudinal Data: A Useful way to Identify Keys ...

```
, , = 1990
    lrr
, , = 1991
    lrr
```

Winner! Each data point can be uniquely identified as being collected from a country, during a year, and a quarter.
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## Different Key Names

## head (datX)

$\left.\begin{array}{|rrrrr}\hline & \text { ID } & \text { Year } & \text { Quarter } & \text { pop } \\ \text { illnesses } \\ 1 & \text { USA } & 1990 & \text { Q1 } & 9.113642\end{array}\right) 84.02290$

## head(datY)

|  | Country | year | Semester | percipitation | cars |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | USA | 1990 | Q1 | 12.049190 | 111.28511 |
| 2 | USA | 1990 | Q2 | 11.632446 | 76.19642 |
| 3 | USA | 1991 | Q1 | 10.254271 | 89.39734 |
| 4 | USA | 1991 | Q2 | 10.491188 | 109.37141 |
| 5 | UK | 1990 | Q1 | 9.675913 | 108.54452 |
| 6 | UK | 1990 | Q2 | 8.337950 | 114.60729 |

## Different Key Names

## head (datX)

|  | ID | Year | Quarter | pop |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| illnesses |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | USA | 1990 | Q1 | 10.583188 |
| 2 | USA | 1990 | Q2 | 8.693201 |
| 3 | USA | 1991 | Q1 | 9.459614 |
| 4 | USA | 1991 | Q2 | 11.91 .45795 |
| 5 | UK | 1990 | Q1 | 10.053590 |
| 6 | UK | 1990 | Q2 | 10.351663 |

## head(datY)

|  | Country | year | Semester | percipitation | cars |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | USA | 1990 | Q1 | 9.413120 | 89.50647 |
| 2 | USA | 1990 | Q2 | 8.167623 | 123.30512 |
| 3 | USA | 1991 | Q1 | 10.888139 | 114.02705 |
| 4 | USA | 1991 | Q2 | 11.593488 | 109.42601 |
| 5 | UK | 1990 | Q1 | 10.516855 | 108.26258 |
| 6 | UK | 1990 | Q2 | 8.704328 | 91.88460 |

## Different Key Names

```
merge(x = datX, y = datY, by.x = c("ID", "Year",
"Quarter"), by.y = c("Country", "year",
"Semester"), all = TRUE)
```

|  | ID | Year | Quarter | pop | illnesses | percipitation | cars |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | UK | 1990 | Q1 | 10.053590 | 101.49592 | 10.516855 | 108.26258 |
| 2 | UK | 1990 | Q2 | 10.351663 | 86.57469 | 8.704328 | 91.88460 |
| 3 | UK | 1991 | Q1 | 9.329023 | 105.53303 | 10.054616 | 104.76248 |
| 4 | UK | 1991 | Q2 | 10.277954 | 115.89963 | 9.215351 | 110.21258 |
| 5 | USA | 1990 | Q1 | 10.583188 | 106.91171 | 9.413120 | 89.50647 |
| 6 | USA | 1990 | Q2 | 8.693201 | 108.23795 | 8.167623 | 123.30512 |
| 7 | USA | 1991 | Q1 | 9.459614 | 121.45065 | 10.888139 | 114.02705 |
| 8 | USA | 1991 | Q2 | 11.947693 | 76.53056 | 11.593488 | 109.42601 |

## Matching Missing

## datX

|  | ID | cars | fear |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 111 | 6 | 90.61873 |
| 2 | 112 | 5 | 97.35806 |
| 3 | NA | 7 | 91.15475 |
| 4 | 114 | 6 | 94.99807 |
| 5 | 115 | 5 | 106.76902 |
| 6 | 116 | 5 | 114.09072 |
| 7 | NA | 9 | 109.50524 |

## datY

|  | ID | pets |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 111 | 5 |
| 2 | NA | 4 |
| 3 | 113 | 4 |
| 4 | 114 | 8 |
| 5 | 115 | 6 |
| 6 | NA | 4 |
| 7 | 117 | 7 |

## Matching Missing:The Problem

merge( $x=$ datX, $y=$ datY, by $=$ "ID", all. $x=$ TRUE)

|  | ID | cars | fear | pets |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 111 | 6 | 90.61873 | 5 |
| 2 | 112 | 5 | 97.35806 | NA |
| 3 | 114 | 6 | 94.99807 | 8 |
| 4 | 115 | 5 | 106.76902 | 6 |
| 5 | 116 | 5 | 114.09072 | NA |
| 6 | NA | 7 | 91.15475 | 4 |
| 7 | NA | 7 | 91.15475 | 4 |
| 8 | NA | 9 | 109.50524 | 4 |
| 9 | NA | 9 | 109.50524 | 4 |

Oops! That is a dangerous outcome: Keys with NA values will be row-aligned

## Matching Missing:The Remedy

incomparables to the rescue
merge $(x=$ datX, $y=$ datY, by $=$ "ID", all= FALSE, incomparables = "NA")

|  | ID | cars | fear | pets |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 111 | 6 | 90.61873 | 5 |
| 2 | 114 | 6 | 94.99807 | 8 |
| 3 | 115 | 5 | 106.76902 | 6 |

That is much better! Always remember to use the incomparables argument if you have any missing data on keys.
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## kutils::mergeCheck

## df 1

|  | id | x |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 1 | -0.9806329 |
| 2 | 2 | 0.6873321 |
| 3 | 3 | -0.5050435 |
| 4 | 4 | 2.1577198 |
| 5 | 5 | -0.5997976 |
| 6 | 6 | -0.6945467 |
| 7 | 7 | 0.2239254 |

df 2

|  | id | $x$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 2 | -1.1562233 |
| 2 | 3 | 0.4224185 |
| 3 | 4 | -1.3247553 |
| 4 | 5 | 0.1410843 |
| 5 | 6 | -0.5360480 |
| 6 | 9 | -0.3116061 |
| 7 | 10 | 1.5561096 |

## Kutils::mergeCheck

```
library(kutils)
mergeCheck(df1, df2, by = "id")
```

```
Merge difficulties detected
Unmatched cases from df1 and df2 :
df1
    id x
1 1 -0.9806329
7 0.2239254
df 2
    id x
6 9 -0.3116061
7 10 1.5561096
```

- mergeCheck alerts you to potential merging issues
- ID 1 and 7 in the df1 dont have matching IDs in df2
- ID 9 and 10 , in the df1 dont have matching id in df2


## kutils::mergeCheck

## df 1

|  | idx | $x$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 1 | -0.44803329 |
| 2 | 2 | 0.32112354 |
| 3 | 3 | -1.23017225 |
| 4 | 4 | -1.32405869 |
| 5 | 5 | 1.26124227 |
| 6 | NA | 1.31923172 |
| 7 | NaN | -0.08075376 |

df 2

```
idy
x
1 2 -0.50508981
2 3-0.05215359
    40.62886063
    5 2.18000240
    6 -0.06901731
    9 1.54486360
70 1.32145202
```


## Kutils::mergeCheck

```
mergeCheck(df1, df2, by.x = "idx", by.y = "idy")
```

```
Merge difficulties detected
Unacceptable key values
df 1
    idx x
6 NA 1.31923172
7 NaN -0.08075376
Unmatched cases from df1 and df2 :
df1
    idx x
1 -0.44803329
6 NA 1.31923172
7 NaN -0.08075376
df2
    idy
    6 -0.06901731
    9 1.54486360
    7 10 1.32145202
```

- In this situation we are warned of:
- Unacceptable key values: NA and NaN


## Kutils::mergeCheck ...

- Again, unmatched IDs: $1,6,7,9,10$


## kutils::mergeCheck

Load library(kutils) and run example(mergeCheck) to learn more about the function. Our kutils package has much more to offer! check out the kutils help page with help(package = "kutils")

## More Information

- The CRMDA has a guide available on merges:
- https://crmda.ku.edu/guide-41-merge_R_SQL
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## Session

## sessionInfo ()

```
R version 3.6.0 (2019-04-26)
Platform: x86_64-pc-linux-gnu (64-bit)
Running under: Ubuntu 19.04
```

Matrix products: default
BLAS: /usr/lib/x86_64-linux-gnu/atlas/libblas.so.3.10.3
LAPACK: /usr/lib/x86_64-linux-gnu/atlas/liblapack.so.3.10.3
locale:
[1] LC_CTYPE=en_US.UTF-8 LC_NUMERIC = C
LC_TIME=en_US.UTF-8
[4] LC_COLLATE=en_US.UTF-8
LC_MONETARY=en_US.UTF-8
LC_MESSAGES = en_US.UTF-8
[7] LC_PAPER=en_US.UTF-8 LC_NAME=C LC_ADDRESS = C
[10] LC_TELEPHONE=C
LC_MEASUREMENT=en_US.UTF-8
LC_IDENTIFICATION = C
attached base packages:
[1] stats graphics grDevices utils datasets methods base
other attached packages:
[1] kutils_1.69

## Session

```
loaded via a namespace (and not attached):
    [1] compiler_3.6.0 plyr_1.8.4 tools_3.6.0 foreign_0.8-71
    lavaan_0.6-3 Rcpp_1.0.1
    [7] mnormt_1.5-5 pbivnorm_0.6.0 xtable_1.8-4 zip_2.0.2
        openxlsx_4.1.0 stats4_3.6.0
```

