# Swarm And Theory

Paul Johnson

# Overview

- Metatheory
- Problems/Challenges of ABM

• Anne Elke's theory about brontosauruses.

# And then There's theory

• Spatial Model of Congress.

### Division of the question



# **Bicameralism**



Swarm And Theory -p. 6/22

• A small-t theory is an empirical characterization

- A small-t theory is an empirical characterization
- A big-T theory is a set of "working parts" such that
  - it can be "deductively interrogated".
  - most "unknowns" are "interesting" (worth debating)

- A small-t theory is an empirical characterization
- A big-T theory is a set of "working parts" such that
  - it can be "deductively interrogated".
  - most "unknowns" are "interesting" (worth debating)
- It is a plus if a Theory
  - relates easily to observables
  - mathematically workable (allows  $\frac{\partial s^*}{\partial B}$ )

Hempel's old philosophy of science. Theory has

- 1. Core Elements. (Structures in which we are interested)
- Auxiliary Elements/hypotheses. (To link/adjust Core to data and make it testable, a set of ad hoc insertions is typically necessary.)

Generally, a better theory has more 1 than 2.

Reduce a setting to

- 1. A list of agents, N
- 2. Sets of possible actions  $S = \{S_1, S_2, ..., S_N\},\$
- 3. A payoff function which designates for each agent a payoff function that corresponds to each possible action:

$$U:\prod_{i\in N}S_i\to\mathfrak{R}^N$$

- A "solution" or "**equilibrium**" is a vector of actions  $s^* = (s_1^*, ..., s_N^*)$  such that no individual can obtain a higher payoff by a unilateral change of action.
- Nash's theorem gave conditions under which a solution will exist and employed then-recent results in fixed-point theory to prove it.

This theorem gave:

- analytical backbone to pre-existing theories in Economics
- a clear modeling path for new projects in other fields
- tied into very useful theorems from Math
- allows comparative statics—"what if" conjectures about framework/institutions

"Unrealistic" (not relevant?) characterization of human

- institutions and settings
- individual information about other players
- calculation capability
- isolation of one decision from another

Difficulty in applying when there are large

- number of agents
- countable strategy sets
- sets of equilibrium points
- differences among agents in interest

- Promise: incorporate and test "new ideas"
- Problems:
  - Big Belt: many ad hoc model details
  - Difficulty isolating "solution" concept

Gaps in existing social theories.

 "relative inequality" or other theories do not meaningfully explain individual-level dynamics

Swarm model:

 Agents try to measure poor quality of ruler by observing the number of protesters they see inside a neighborhood.

#### Protest 1



**Contagious Protest** 



Swarm And Theory – p. 16/22

#### Protest 2



Swarm And Theory – p. 17/22

Bibb Latane (et al) model of agents in a grid who may be persuaded by social influences. Key Features:

- Agents fixed in position, evenly dispersed
- Pressure emanates radially from each agent, stops at border of grid
- Synchronous (all update against snapshot)

# Impact Snap1



# Impact Snap 2



Modeling Features we can introduce

- Mobile agents
- Asynchronous updating
- Limited impact: radius X
- Impact may wrap (toroidal world)

Yes:

- Undercuts previous results driven by ad hoc elements
- Fills gaps in theory that underlies model

No:

• How many angels can dance on the head of a pin?